## Dynamics of Learning Summary - Hanchun Wang

Tuesday, July 19, 2022 1:39 PM

Equilibrium	Action domain		$\Delta_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n ; 0 \le x_i \le 1, x_1 + \dots + x_n = 1\}$ be the $(n-1)$ dimensional simplex				
	Linear Payoff		$\mathbf{x} \cdot A\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{e}_i \cdot A\mathbf{x} = (A\mathbf{x})_i$				
	Indifference set		$Z_{ij} = \{x; (Ax)_i = (Ax)_j\}$				
	Best Response		$\mathcal{B}R(x) = \underset{y \in \Delta}{\operatorname{argmax}}(y \cdot Ax) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ y' \in \Delta; y \cdot Ax \le y' \cdot Ax, \forall y \in \Delta \}$				
	(strict) Nash Equilibrium		$\hat{x} \in \Delta \text{ is } NE \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x \cdot A\hat{x} \leq \hat{x} \cdot A\hat{x}, \forall x \in \Delta, \text{ strict inequality for strict NE}$				
			$\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \Delta \text{ is } NE \leftrightarrow \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \mathcal{B}R(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}})$				
			$\hat{x} \in \Delta  \iota s  NE \leftrightarrow \exists c \in \mathbb{R}, \hat{x}_i > 0, (A\hat{x})_i = c \to \forall ij, \hat{x} \in Z_{ij}$				
	Evolutionary stable equilibrium (ESS)		$\hat{x} \in \Delta \text{ is ESS} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists \epsilon > 0, x \cdot A(\epsilon x + (1 - \epsilon)\hat{x}) < \hat{x} \cdot A(\epsilon x + (1 - \epsilon)\hat{x})$				
			$\hat{x} \in \Delta \text{ is ESS} \stackrel{\text{\tiny uer}}{=} \exists \epsilon > 0, \forall y \in B_{\epsilon}(x), y \cdot Ay < \hat{x} \cdot Ay$				
			$\hat{x} \in int(\Delta)$ is an ESS $\rightarrow \hat{x}$ is the unique NE				
	Coarse Correlated Equilibrium (CCE, no-regret set)		$(p_{ij}) \in CCE \iff \sum_{i,j} a_{i'j} p_{ij} \le \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} p_{ij}$ and $\sum_{i,j} b_{ij'} p_{ij} \le \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} p_{ij}$				
	Correlated Equilibrium (CE)		$(p_{ij}) \in CE \leftrightarrow \sum_{k} a_{i'k} p_{ik} \le \sum_{k} a_{ik} p_{ik}$ and $\sum_{l} b_{lj'} p_{lj} \le \sum_{l} b_{lj} p_{lj}$				
			$ \{ strict NE \} \subset \{ ESS \} \subset \{ NE \} $ $ \{ NE \} \subset \{ CE \} \subset \{ CCE \} $				
Dealisates Demonster (en e		1					
population)	Dynamics	$\dot{x}_i = x_i ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{d x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{d x_i} ((Ax)_i - x \cdot \frac{x_i}{d x_i} - \frac{x_i}{$	$\frac{Ax}{i}, i = 1, \dots, n$				
		$dt x_j = x_j (ax_j)$					
	Convergence	Every n	$\times  n$ replicator game has a Nash Equilibrium				
		NENE is an equilibrium of Replicator dynamics $\hat{x}$ is omega-limit of an orbit $x(t), \hat{x} \in int(\Delta)$ . Then $\hat{x}$ is NE.					
		$\hat{x}$ is lyapunov stable. Then $\hat{x}$ is NE.					
		ESS ESS is asymptotically stable equilibrium					
		$\hat{x} \in int(\Delta)$ is ESS, then $\hat{x}$ globally attracts all initial points $x \in int(\Delta)$					
Replicator Dynamics	Dynamics	$P_A(x, y) = x \cdot Ay,  \mathcal{B}R_A(y) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in A} x \cdot Ay$					
		$P_B(x,y) = x \cdot By,  \mathcal{B}R_B(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in \Delta_B} x \cdot By$					
		$\dot{x}_i = x_i \left( \left( Ay \right)_i - x \cdot Ay \right)$					
		$\dot{y}_i = y_i \left( \left( x^{tr} B \right)_i - \right)_i$	$(x \cdot By)$				
	Convergence	Fach himatrix game (					
Post rosponso Dunomios							
Best response Dynamics	Dynamics	$\dot{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{B}R(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x};$ $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathcal{B}P(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}$ at $\mathbf{x}$	lifferentiable x				
		$x \to \mathcal{B}R(x)$ is upper	er semi-continuous				
		Characteristic curve	Characteristic curve is continuous, almost everywhere differentiable				
	Convergence	Assume that (A,B) is a zero-sum game. Best Response dynamics converge to the set of Nash equilibria.					
Fictional play dynamics	Dynamics	$p(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{s} x(u) du$	$u; q(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{s} y(u) du; s = e^{t}$				
		$\dot{p}(s) = \frac{1}{s}x(s) - \frac{1}$	$\frac{y_0}{z_0} = \frac{y_0}{z_0}$				
		$x(s) \in \mathcal{B}R_A(q(s)) \text{ and } y(s) \in \mathcal{B}R_B(p(s)) \text{ for } s \ge 1.$					
		$\dot{p}(s) \in \frac{1}{s} \Big( \mathcal{B}R_A \Big( q(s) \Big) \Big)$	$(s)) - p(s)); \dot{q}(s) \in \frac{1}{s} \left( \mathcal{B}R_B(p(s)) - q(s) \right)$				
		$\dot{p}(t) = \Big(\mathcal{B}R_A\big(q(t))\Big)$	$\dot{p}(t) = \left(\mathcal{B}R_A(q(t)) - p(t)\right); \dot{q}(t) = \left(\mathcal{B}R_B(p(t)) - q(t)\right).$				

	Convergenc	.e Fictitious play converges to the no-regret set CCE						
		Assume that (A,B) is a zero-sum game. Fictional Play dynamics converge to the set of Nash equilibria.						
		Fiction Play orbits Pareto dominates Nash payoff						
		Time averages of Replicator Dynamics converge to pseudo-orbits of Fictitious Play						
Reinforcement Learning								
	Dynamics	Model update	Cross Learni	ng	$\theta^{t+1} = (1 - \vartheta u^t)\theta^t + \vartheta u$	$t^t x^t,  t \ge 1$		
			Erev-Roth Cumulative payoff matching (CPM)		$\theta^{t+1} = \theta^t + u^t x^t,  t \ge 1$			
			Arthur model		$\theta^{t+1} = \left(\theta^t + u^t x^t\right) \frac{\mathcal{C}(t+1)}{\mathcal{C}t + u^t},  t \ge 1$			
		Action choose	Proportional	$n(t) = 0^t /  0^t $				
			Greedy	p(0) = q /  q  $p(0) = (1 - \epsilon)$	$\frac{1}{BR_I(0) + \epsilon(1/n, \dots, 1/n)}$		_	
			Softmax $coftmax(0) = 1$ $(corr(0, 1\pi), corr(0, 1\pi))$					
			$\operatorname{sortmax}_{T}(Q) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i} \exp(Q_{i}/T)} \left( \exp(Q_{1}/T), \dots, \exp(Q_{n}/T) \right)$					
	Q-learning	Dynamics	$O^{t+h}(s) = 0$	$D^t(s) + ah \cdot (u^t)$	+ $\gamma \max_{i} O_{i}^{t}(s) - O_{i}^{t}(s) \cdot a(s)$	(t) $a(t)$		
			$\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} = $					
			$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = x_i \tau \left( \frac{dQ_i}{dt} - \sum_j \frac{dQ_j}{dt} x_j \right)$					
			$\frac{dx_i}{dx_i} = x_i \tau \alpha \left( r_i - \sum x_i r_i + (1/\tau) \sum x_i \log(x_i/x_i) \right)$					
			$\frac{dt}{dr} = \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{j} \frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{j} \right) \right)$					
			$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = x_i \tau \alpha \left( \left( Ay \right)_i - x \cdot Ay + (1/\tau) \left[ -\log x_i + \sum_j x_j \log x_j \right] \right)$					
			$\frac{dy_i}{dt} = y_i \tau \alpha$	$\left( (Bx)_i - y \cdot Bx + \right)$	$+(1/\tau)\left[-\log y_i + \sum_j y_j \log y_j\right]$	gy <sub>j</sub> ])		
No-regret Learning								
	Dynamics	$SWAP_A^i(j,k) = \begin{cases} \\ \end{cases}$	$SWAP_A^i(j,k) = \begin{cases} e_k \cdot Ay^i & \text{if } x^i = e_j \\ x^i \cdot Ay^i & \text{if } x^i \neq e_j \end{cases}$					
		$\mathrm{DIFF}_{A}^{t}(j,k) = \frac{1}{t}$	$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t} [SWAP_{A}^{i}]\right)$	$(j,k) - x^i \cdot Ay^i$				
		$REGRET^{t}_{A}(j,k) =$	$\frac{c\left(\frac{1}{i=1}\right)}{\operatorname{REGRET}_{A}^{t}(j,k) = \max(\operatorname{DIFF}_{A}^{t}(j,k), 0)}$ $p_{i}^{t+1} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{REGRET}_{A}^{t}(j^{*}, j) \text{ for all } j \neq j^{*}}$					
		$p_i^{t+1} = \frac{1}{-\text{REGRE}}$						
		$\mu_{n^{t+1}-1}$	nt+1 when i	_ ;*				
		$p_{j^*} = 1 - \sum_{j \neq j^*}$	$p_j$ when $j$	— J				
	Convergenc	e (Hart and Mas-Co	olell). Provided	I we fix $\mu$ sufficier	ntly large, if player A follows			
		If two player pla	If two player play no-regret learning, the system converges to CE					
	Blackwall's	a convex set C E	a convex set $C \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is approachable for the vector payoff A if for each t and					
	Approachab	all probabilities {	all probabilities $\{p^i, q^i\}_{i=1}^{t-1}$ , there exists a choice $p^t$ so that for each choice of $q^t$ (which player					
		A does not know	For any closed convex set C the following are equivalent.					
		1. C is approacha	1. C is approachable for the vector payoff A;					
		2. for each q the 3. every half space	2. Tor each q there exists p so that $A(p,q) \in C$ 3. every half space containing C is approachable.					
Connections hat we are								
Learning dynamics	FP vs. RD	The time average of a re Exists hyperbolic orbits i	ime average of a replicator orbit corresponds to a pseudo-orbit of fictitious play dynamics s hyperbolic orbits in FP, then exists a corresponding orbits in RD					
	RL vs. FP	einforcement learning with choosing agreedy choices is yory closely related to the type						
		of dynamics one sees in	lynamics one sees in Best Response dynamics and Fictitious Play.					
	RD vs. RL	Softmax Q-learning with $lpha=1/ au ightarrow 0$ , the dynamics converges to the usual replicator dynamics						
		https://arxiv.org/abs/nlin/0408039						